HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 ARTHUR WEST, Case No. C10-5381RBL 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. **ORDER** 13 14 NORTHERN SPOTTED OWL POLICY 15 WORK GROUP, Defendants. 16 17 18 19 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order Dismissing Parties. 20 [Dkt. #23]. 21 22 Under Local Rule 7, motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such 23 motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal 24 authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rule 25 7(h). 26 Plaintiff did not timely respond to the prior Order, and has not adequately explained that failure. 27 28 Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider [Dkt. #23] the Court's prior Order of Dismissal [Dkt. #20] is DENIED.

ORDER Page - 1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 9th day of September, 2010.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE